

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/562,741	12/29/2005	Rudiger Kolb	502901-220PUS	7798	
27799 COHEN PON	7590 02/05/200 TANI LIFRFRMAN &	•	EXAMINER		
COHEN, PONTANI, LIEBERMAN & PAVANE 551 FIFTH AVENUE			SCHELL, JOSEPH O		
SUITE 1210 NEW YORK, I	NY 10176		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2114		
		•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		·	02/05/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			m			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/562,741	KOLB ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joseph Schell	2114				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 22 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	eply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In					
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	nt, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. The replacement of the final rejection in the mailing date of the final rejection. The replacement of the final rejection in the mailing date of the final rejection.					
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of le appeal. Since			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);				
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	•					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	timely filed amendme	ant canceling the			
non-allowable claim(s).	nowable if submitted in a separate,	unlery med amendine	an canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 15 and 18. Claim(s) objected to: 17 and 19. Claim(s) rejected: 10-14 and 16. Claim(s) withdraws from consideration:		II be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ils to provide a 1).			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application is	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☒ Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					

Continuation of 13. Other: the current status of the claims is as follows:

Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsai ('196) in view of Hosaka ('594).

Claims 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Corrie ('635) in view of Hosaka ('594).

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Corrie ('635) in view of hosaka ('594) and Alderson ('649). Claims 15 and 18 are allowable.

Claims 17 and 19 are objected to as containing allowable subject matter while being dependent on rejected base claims.

SCOTT BADERMAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER